## A Building Efficiency Analysis:

The Economic and Environmental Impact

Introduction: This report will take a look into building science and how crucial it can be that a building is built efficiently. The two main focus points that must be taken into consideration is the cost, which includes upfront cost and long-term savings, and also the emissions that come from the use of energy. Many factors play a role in building science such as materials, appliances, and overall building tightness which describes the air leakage throughout a building's thermal enclosure. The more efficient a building is, the less air that can escape from the conditioned space to the outside. A building that is built more efficiently will reduce cost and emissions, be more durable, provide a comfortable environment, and have improved air quality.

Procedure: The energy modeling was completed through REM/Rate comparing an actual apartment to one with altered figures to demonstrate how slight changes can have a massive economical and environmental impact. The altered figures were applied to the Non-Energy Efficient Apartment; such as increased U-value and decreased R-value properties, less efficient mechanical equipment and appliances, and a higher blower door result which means it does not hold air within the conditioned space as well. For a full list of changes please view table 2.

The two apartments will be distinguished by one being the Energy Efficient Apartment, and the Non-Energy Efficient Apartment. The Non-Energy Efficient Apartment has a HERS score of 100 which is considered a standard reference home, yet this does not mean it cannot be built better.
*Energy Modeling completed through REM/Rate
The rating system used for this analysis is the Home Energy Rating System (HERS index) which is the industry standard to measure a building's energy efficiency. A score of 100 is the average for a new home on the market, although the lower the score, the more efficient it will be. A score of zero is possible which would be a Net Zero Building where there is a net zero of energy consumption as renewable energy would counter the energy usage. (HERS Index Guide)

| Energy Efficient Apartment |  | Non-Energy Efficient Apartment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hers Index | 46 | Hers Index | 100 |
| Conditioned Area | 937 sq. ft | Conditioned Area | 937 sq. ft |
| Conditioned Volume | 7496 Cuft | Conditioned Volume | 7496 Cuft |
| Bedrooms | 2 | Bedrooms | 2 |
| House type | Apartment, end unit | House type | Apartment, end unit |
| Foundation | Apartment over cond. space | Foundation | Apartment over cond. space |
| Electricity | Xcel SD 10 2020 | Electricity | Xcel SD 10 2020 |
| Natural Gas | Midamer2020 | Natural Gas | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 1: Apartment general information


Figure 1: Floorplan of the apartment used for the energy analysis
The unit used for this energy analysis is a two bedroom apartment on the third level which is the top floor. The blue dashed line represents walls that are connected to conditioned space (another apartment). There are two sides of the perimeter that leads to the exterior environment as this is a corner apartment which plays a role in an apartment's ability to retain air within the building envelope.
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| Building <br> Component | Energy Efficient Apartment | Non-Energy Efficient Apartment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Above-Grade Wall <br> Properties | Lower U-value <br> Higher R-value <br> Cavity Insulation Grade 1 | Higher U-value <br> Lower R-value <br> Cavity Insulation Grade 3 |
| Window and Glass <br> Door Properties | U-Value: 0.23 <br> Solar Heat Gain Coefficient: 0.29 | U-Value: 0.36 <br> Solar Heat Gain Coefficient: 0.52 |
| Ceiling Properties | R-50 Blown, Attic <br> Continuous Insulation R-Value: 37 <br> Cavity Insulation R-Value: 13 <br> U-Value: 0.020 | R-38 Blown Attic <br> Continuous Insulation R-Value: 25 <br> Cavity Insulation R-Value: 13 |
| U-Value: 0.027 |  |  |

Table 2: The components changed between apartments
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| Energy Efficient Apartment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated Annual Energy Cost |  |  |
| use | MMBtu | Cost (\$) |
| Heating | 8.8 | 193 |
| Cooling | 1.1 | 29 |
| Hot Water | 7.8 | 36 |
| Lights/ Appliances | 10.5 | 248 |
| Service Charges |  | 195 |
| Total | 28.2 | 701 |

Table 3: Estimated annual energy cost for good apartment

| Non-Energy Efficient Apartment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated Annual Energy Cost |  |  |
| use | MMBtu | Cost $(\$)$ |
| Heating | 21.4 | 463 |
| Cooling | 2.2 | 58 |
| Hot Water | 9.5 | 222 |
| Lights/ Appliances | 13.7 | 321 |
| Service Charges |  | 99 |
| Total | 46.8 | 1,163 |

Table 4: estimated annual energy cost for poor apartment

| Energy Efficient Apartment Cost over 10 years (\$) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Use | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 | Year 9 | Year 10 |
| Heating | 193 | 386 | 579 | 772 | 965 | 1,158 | 1,351 | 1,544 | 1,737 | 1,930 |
| Cooling | 29 | 58 | 87 | 116 | 145 | 174 | 203 | 232 | 261 | 290 |
| Hot Water | 36 | 72 | 108 | 144 | 180 | 216 | 252 | 288 | 324 | 360 |
| Lights/ Appliances | 248 | 496 | 744 | 992 | 1,240 | 1,488 | 1,736 | 1,984 | 2,232 | 2,480 |
| Service Charges | 195 | 390 | 585 | 780 | 975 | 1,170 | 1,365 | 1,560 | 1,755 | 1,950 |
| Total | 701 | 1,402 | 2,103 | 2,804 | 3,505 | 4,206 | 4,907 | 5,608 | 6,309 | 7,010 |

Table 5: Energy efficient apartment cost over 10 years

| Non-Energy Efficient Apartment Cost over 10 years (\$) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Use | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 | Year 9 | Year 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heating | 463 | 926 | 1,389 | 1,852 | 2,315 | 2,778 | 3,241 | 3,704 | 4,167 | 4,630 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cooling | 58 | 116 | 174 | 232 | 290 | 348 | 406 | 464 | 522 | 580 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hot Water | 222 | 444 | 666 | 888 | 1,110 | 1,332 | 1,554 | 1,776 | 1,998 | 2,220 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lights/ Appliances | 321 | 642 | 963 | 1,284 | 1,605 | 1,926 | 2,247 | 2,568 | 2,889 | 3,210 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Charges | 99 | 198 | 297 | 396 | 495 | 594 | 693 | 792 | 891 | 990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,163 | 2,326 | 3,489 | 4,652 | 5,815 | 6,979 | 8,141 | 9,304 | 10,467 | 11,630 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6: Non-energy efficient apartment cost over 10 years
Tables 3-6 demonstrate how an apartment building that is built more efficiently will save an estimated $\$ 4,620$ over the course of the first 10 years. This takes into consideration each use of energy and the rates of use. Graph 1 (view below) shows how these costs grow apart significantly fast as an apartment is expected to be used for longer than 10 years; it can
significantly add up over time and the Non-Energy Efficient apartment may grow exponentially as the appliances are not built to last as long.

Cost Analysis Comparison of the Two Apartments


Graph 1: Cost analysis of a good vs. poor apartment over the first 10 years

|  | Energy Efficient Apartment |  | Non-Energy Efficient Apartment |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per 100 Apartments |  |  |  |
| Use | Year 10 | Year 30 | Year 10 | Year 30 |
| Heating (\$) | 193,000 | 579,000 | 463,000 | $1,389,000$ |
| Cooling (\$) | 29,000 | 87,000 | 58,000 | 174,000 |
| Hot Water (\$) | 36,000 | 108,000 | 222,000 | 666,000 |
| Lights/ Appliances (\$) | 248,000 | 744,000 | 321,000 | 963,000 |
| Service Charges (\$) | 195,000 | 585,000 | 99,000 | 297,000 |
| Total (\$) | 701,000 | $\mathbf{2 , 1 0 3 , 0 0 0}$ | $1,163,000$ | $\mathbf{3 , 4 8 9 , 0 0 0}$ |

Table 7: Compares the cost of two apartments over 10 years and 30 years for 100 apartments
Table 7 uses a 100 apartment building to demonstrate how building efficiency can greatly matter on a larger scale. Over the course of 30 years an apartment building that is built more energy efficient will have saved $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 8 6 , 0 0 0}$.

The energy efficient apartment will be $\mathbf{3 9 . 7 2 \%}$ less expensive than the non-energy efficient apartment. This number will increase exponentially over the years as the better apartment will maintain its durability and appliance efficiency, whereas the other apartment will begin to lose the building integrity and appliance durability.

Future predictions can often be difficult due to a changing inflation rate and the consumer price index can be difficult to predict in the energy sector due to many factors. As the availability of energy generation sources depletes in fossil fuel based energy and rises in renewable energy, the exact cost over the time frame of 30 years used for this analysis will vary quite significantly during this time frame as it is a changing market. The main elements of heating, cooling, hot water, lights, appliances, and service charges are all subject to change as time passes which is why it is of utmost importance to begin using energy efficient appliances and build a home that can retain the conditioned space. As the energy market is on the brink of a large change from fossil fuels to renewable energy the future of the energy market is hard to predict so choosing the options of the future now will save money. Due to these factors the previous numbers from table 7 will be adjusted based on an average inflation rate of $3 \%$. This future prediction was completed through the Consumer Price Index Inflation Calculator at https://www.officialdata.org/.

|  | Energy Efficient <br> Apartment | Non-Energy Efficient <br> Apartment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost | 100 Apartments over 30 years |  | Cost Difference (\$) |
| Cost Before Inflation (\$) | $2,103,000$ | $3,489,000$ | $1,386,000$ |
| Cost After 3\% Inflation (\$) | $5,257,669$ | $8,722,780$ | $3,465,111$ |

Table 8: Inflation cost adjustment for 100 apartments over 30 years
Table 8 applies a $3 \%$ inflation rate applied to the final cost of 100 apartments over a 30 year time period before any other factors are taken into account. These numbers are subject to change based on the supply and demand of the energy market, yet based purely on inflation rate it can be noted that there is a large cost difference between the energy efficient apartment and the non-energy efficient apartment. Another factor that plays a role in the energy market is that the numbers used for this analysis for the electricity rates were taken from South Dakota which is often cheaper than the national average. The savings can already be seen to be quite significant and would only increase when building more efficiently in other states.

After inflation is accounted for, the savings of 100 energy efficient apartments over the course of 30 years rises from $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 2 8 6 , 0 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 4 6 5 , 1 1 1}$

| Energy Efficient Apartment |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Estimated Annual Emissions |  |
| Carbon Dioxide | 3.2 tons/year |
| Sulfur Dioxide | $17.7 \mathrm{lbs} /$ year |
| Nitrogen Oxide | $19.5 \mathrm{lbs} /$ year |

Table 9: Estimated annual emissions for good apartment

| Non-Energy Efficient Apartment |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Estimated Annual Emissions |  |
| Carbon Dioxide | 6.9 tons/year |
| Sulfur Dioxide | $44.9 \mathrm{lbs} /$ year |
| Nitrogen Oxide | $46.4 \mathrm{lbs} /$ year |

Table 10: Estimated annual emissions for poor apartment

|  |  | Energy Efficient Apartment Emissions over 10 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 | Year 9 | Year 10 |
| Carbon Dioxide | tons/yr | 3.2 | 6.4 | 9.6 | 12.8 | 16 | 19.2 | 22.4 | 25.6 | 28.8 | 32 |
| Sulfur Dioxide | lbs/yr | 17.7 | 35.4 | 53.1 | 70.8 | 88.5 | 106.2 | 123.9 | 141.6 | 159.3 | 177 |
| Nitrogen Oxide | lbs/yr | 19.5 | 39 | 58.5 | 78 | 97.5 | 117 | 136.5 | 156 | 175.5 | 195 |

Table 11: Energy efficient apartment emissions over 10 years

|  |  | Non-Energy Efficient Apartment Emissions over 10 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 | Year 9 | Year 10 |
| Carbon Dioxide | tons/yr | 6.9 | 13.8 | 20.7 | 27.6 | 34.5 | 41.4 | 48.3 | 55.2 | 62.1 | 69 |
| Sulfur Dioxide | $\mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{yr}$ | 44.9 | 89.8 | 134.7 | 179.6 | 224.5 | 269.4 | 314.3 | 359.2 | 404.1 | 449 |
| Nitrogen Oxide | $\mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{yr}$ | 46.4 | 92.8 | 139.2 | 185.6 | 232 | 278.4 | 324.8 | 371.2 | 417.6 | 464 |

Table 12: Non-energy efficient apartment emission over 10 years
Tables 9-12 demonstrate how an apartment building that is built more efficiently will release significantly Fewer emissions than an apartment that is NOT built efficiently. The three emissions calculated are Carbon Dioxide, Sulfur Dioxide, and Nitrogen Oxide. This also demonstrates the linear growth that will occur over a 10 year usage of the apartments. It is also expected that the Non-Energy Efficient Apartment will turn into exponential growth as the appliances will become obsolete quicker than the appliances used in an energy efficient apartment (most likely ENERGY STAR)

|  | Energy Efficient Apartment |  | Non-Energy Efficient Apartment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per 100 Apartments |  |  |  |
| Emissions | Year 10 | Year 30 | Year 10 | Year 30 |
| Carbon Dioxide (Tons) | 3,200 | 9,600 | 6,900 | 20,700 |
| Sulfur Dioxide (lbs) | 17,700 | 53,100 | 44,900 | 134,700 |
| Nitrogen Oxide (lbs) | 19,500 | 58,500 | 46,400 | 139,200 |

Table 13: Compares the cost of two apartments over 10 years and 30 years for 100 apartments
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| Emission | Energy Efficient <br> Apartment | Non-Energy <br> Efficient Apartment | Percentage <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carbon Dioxide (tons/year) | 3.2 | 6.9 | 2.156 |
| Sulfur Dioxide (lbs/year) | 17.7 | 44.9 | 2.537 |
| Nitrogen Oxide (lbs/year) | 19.5 | 46.4 | 2.379 |

Table 14: Compares the emissions between the two apartments

|  | 100 Apartments over 30 years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Energy Efficient <br> Apartment | Non-Energy <br> Efficient Apartment | Difference |
| Cost (\$) | $2,103,000$ | $3,489,000$ | $1,386,000$ |
| Carbon Dioxide (Tons) | 9,600 | 20,700 | 11,100 |
| Sulfur Dioxide (lbs) | 53,100 | 134,700 | 81,600 |
| Nitrogen Oxide (lbs) | 58,500 | 139,200 | 80,700 |

Table 15: Shows the difference of emissions between 100 apartments over 30 years
Table 15 demonstrates the importance of building efficiently as it takes into account 100 apartments cost and emissions over 30 years for the Energy Efficient model and Non-Energy Efficient model

An apartment building with 100 units built efficiently compared to one built inefficiently over 30 years will save...
$\$ 1,386,000$ (Before Inflation)
$\$ 3,465,111$ (After Inflation)
11,100 Tons of Carbon Dioxide
81,600 Pounds of Sulfur Dioxide
80,700 Pounds of Nitrogen Oxide

Building science is more than just saving money, It's also about doing our part in protecting the planet by building not just to local code but going above and beyond to cut down on cost and Greenhouse Gas Emissions. A building that undergoes certification will...

- Cost less to operate
- Be more comfortable to live in
- Boost your resale value
- Reduce environmental impact
- Be healthier to live in



